Validation Report: ELISA





Test Characteristics

Test Name Cowpea mild mottle virus Capture Antibody Polyclonal (Rabbit)

Catalog Number 79500 Detection Antibody Polyclonal (Rabbit)

AcronymCPMMVFormatDAS-ELISAGenusCarlavirusDiluentsGEB/ECISample Dilution1:10

Summary

This ELISA test is a qualitative serological assay for the detection of Cowpea mild mottle virus (CPMMV) in bean and vegetable leaves. CPMMV is a member of the Carlavirus genus known for their flexuous rod-shaped virus particles.

Diagnostic Sensitivity Analytical Sensitivity

True Positives 88 Limit of Detection: 1:5,000 dilution of infected tissue (pathogen titer unknown)

Correct Diagnoses 88

Percent 100%

Analytical Specificity

Inclusivity:

Isolates and Geographic Regions Detected:

| CPMMV Florida/Puerto Rico Isolate | CPMMV PV-0090 (Ghana) (DSMZ) |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| CPMMV PV-0907 (Sudan) (DSMZ) | |

Exclusivity:

Cross-reacts With:

| None Known |
|------------|
|------------|

Does Not Cross-react With:

| Alfalfa mosaic virus (AMV) | Bean common mosaic virus (BCMV) |
|---|---|
| Bean Yellow Mosaic Virus (BYMV) | Comovirus siliquae (Bean pod mottle virus) (BPMV) |
| Comovirus vignae (Cowpea mosaic virus) (CPMV) | Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV) |
| Nepovirus arabis (Arabis mosaic virus) (ArMV) | Nepovirus lycopersici (Tomato ringspot virus) (ToRSV) |
| Nepovirus nicotianae (Tobacco ringspot virus) (TRSV) | Orthotospovirus arachianuli (Groundnut ringspot virus) (GRSV) |
| Orthotospovirus glycininecrovenae (Soybean vein necrosis virus) (SVNV) | Orthotospovirus impatiensnecromaculae (Impatiens necrotic spot virus) (INSV) |
| Orthotospovirus tomatomaculae (Tomato spotted wilt virus) (TSWV) | Pea Streak Virus (PeSV) |
| Peanut stunt virus (PSV) | Potato virus S (PVS) |



Does Not Cross-react With:

| Southern bean mosaic virus (SBMV) | Soybean mosaic virus (SMV) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) | Tobacco streak virus (TSV) |
| Tomato Aspermy Virus (TAV) | |

Diagnostic Specificity

True Negatives 117
Correct Diagnoses 117
Percent 100%

Selectivity:

| No Matrix Effect Observed With: | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Alfalfa leaves | Browallia leaves | Chickpea leaves | Common bean leaves | |
| Common bean seeds | Cotton leaves | Cowpea leaves | Cowpea seeds | |
| Eggplant leaves | Faba bean leaves | Mirabilis jalapa leaves | Pea leaves | |
| Peanut leaves | Petunia leaves | Salvia hispanica leaves | Soybean leaves | |
| Soybean seeds | Tomato leaves | Tomato seeds | Vigna subterranea leaves | |

The hosts on the above list have been chosen to represent those which historically cause a range of matrix effects, in addition to those expected to be screened for this pathogen. Not all plant species susceptible to this pathogen have been screened, but may still be used with this assay unless otherwise noted below. As with all diagnostic tools, Agdia recommends confirming all results with a secondary detection method before making any economic decisions (ex: discarding plants due to positive test results, etc.).

| Matrix Effect Observed With: | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| None Known | | |

Repeatability

Reproducibility

| Number of Samples | 205 | Number of Samples | 32 |
|-------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|
| Replicates per Sample | 3 - 6 | Replicates per Sample | 6 |
| Total Replicates | 801 | Number of Operators | 3 |
| Replicates in Agreement | 800 | Total Replicates | 576 |
| Percent Agreement | 99.9% | Replicates in Agreement | 565 |
| | | Percent Agreement | 98.1% |

Robustness

Stability:

| | 1-year stability (accelerated) | 1-year stability (real time) | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Positive Sample (High) | Pass | Monitoring | |
| Positive Sample (Medium) | Pass | Monitoring | |
| Positive Sample (Low) | Pass | Monitoring | |
| Negative Sample | Pass | Monitoring | |
| Buffer | Pass | Monitoring | |
| Negative Control | Pass | Monitoring | |



Planned deviation analysis:

| Average OD Values | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | O.N. coating / 2 hour sample | 4 hour coating / 2 hour sample | 4 hour coating / O.N. sample | O.N. coating / O.N. sample |
| Positive Sample #1 (High) | 4.000 | 4.000 | 3.760 | 3.782 |
| Positive Sample #1 (Med) | 2.309 | 2.331 | 2.276 | 2.501 |
| Positive Sample #1 (Low) | 0.491 | 0.495 | 0.789 | 0.875 |
| Negative Sample #1 | 0.099 | 0.100 | 0.073 | 0.081 |
| Negative Sample #2 | 0.097 | 0.097 | 0.074 | 0.108 |
| Negative Sample #3 | 0.097 | 0.098 | 0.075 | 0.080 |
| Negative Sample #4 | 0.102 | 0.101 | 0.077 | 0.082 |
| Negative Sample #5 | 0.145 | 0.123 | 0.107 | 0.120 |
| Negative Sample #6 | 0.105 | 0.099 | 0.075 | 0.080 |
| Negative Sample #7 | 0.097 | 0.094 | 0.077 | 0.079 |
| Buffer | 0.096 | 0.082 | 0.061 | 0.083 |

Glossary

Diagnostic sensitivity¹: The percentage of positive samples correctly identified in an experiment with known positive controls.

Diagnostic specificity¹: The percentage of negative samples correctly identified in an experiment with known negative controls.

Analytical sensitivity3: The smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably (this is sometimes referred to as the 'limit of detection')

Analytical specificity³: (comprises inclusivity and exclusivity)

Inclusivity³: The performance of a test with a range of target isolates covering genetic diversity, different geographical origin and/or hosts

associated with the target organism.

Exclusivity³: The performance of a test with a range of non-targets (e.g. cross-reaction with closely related organisms, contaminants)

Selectivity²: The level of effect that matrices and relevant plant parts have on the performance of the assay.

Repeatability²: The agreement between test replicates of the same sample tested by the same operator.

Reproducibility³: The ability of a test to provide consistent results when applied to aliquots of the same sample tested under different conditions

(e.g. time, users, equipment, location)

Robustness^{1,3}: The extent to which varying test conditions (e.g. temperature, volume, change of buffers) affect the established test performance

values. May also be referred to as planned deviation analysis.

Stability¹: The performance of test reagents or controls over time.

References:

'Groth-Helms, D., Rivera, Y., Martin, F. N., Arif, M., Sharma, P., Castlebury, L. A. (in press). Terminology and Guidelines for Diagnostic Assay Development and Validation: Best Practices for Molecular Tests. PhytoFrontiers.

²Eads, A., Groth-Helms, D., Davenport, B., Cha, X., Li, R., Walsh, C., Schuetz, K., (in press). The Commercial Validation of Three Tomato Brown Rugose Fruit Virus Assays. PhytoFrontiers.

³EPPO (2018) PM 7/76 (5) Use of EPPO Diagnostic Standards, EPPO Bulletin 48, 373–377.



Agdia, Inc. 52642 County Road 1 Elkhart, IN 46514 574-264-2014 / 800-622-4342 www.agdia.com / info@agdia.com